

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Definition of Critical Terms

Mathematics

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	27	146	60
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.9%	73.1%	80.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	88.9%	80.7%	61.7%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	69.2%	90.1%	78.0%

PACT PERFORMANCE								<u> </u>
	Englis	Ret 1st ing	/	Jon Basic	/	Proficient	Advanced olo Profi	cient and cit
	all	HILL LEST	(ester)	ONBU	Basic	profice	Advart. of	cielyanco
	Enro	184g 0/0	lested old	0/0	0/0	, 0/0	0/0/0/6/10	cient ance
All atodonts			크	igiisn/Lar				
All students Gender	404	100.0	34.8	44.9	18.4	1.8	20.3	17.6
Male	217	100.0	34.6	46.4	18.5	0.5	19.0	17.6
iviale Female	217							17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	187	100.0	35.1	43.1	18.4	3.4	21.8	17.6
White	180	100.0	17.8	49.4	30.5	2.3	32.8	17.6
African-American	216	100.0	49.0	40.7	8.8	1.5	10.3	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	1N/A	100.0	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan		0.0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	17.6
Disability Status	N/A	0.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	17.6
Not disabled	222	100.0	28.0	48.1	21.7	2.2	23.9	17.6
Disabled	333							
Migrant Status	71	100.0	67.2	29.9	3.0	N/A	3.0	17.6
Migrant Status	NI/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	N/A							
English Proficiency	404	100.0	34.8	44.9	18.4	1.8	20.3	17.6
Limited English proficient	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	399	100.0	34.2	45.3	18.7	1.8	20.5	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	399	100.0	34.2	40.0	10.7	1.0	20.5	17.0
Subsidized meals	246	100.0	45.9	41.6	11.6	0.9	12.4	17.6
Full-pay meals	156	100.0	17.8	50.0	28.9	3.3	32.2	17.6
i uli-pay meais	150	100.0	17.0	30.0	20.9	3.3	JZ.Z	17.0
				Mathe	matics.			
All students	404	100.0	28.6	44.4	19.0	8.1	27.0	15.5
Gender	404	100.0	20.0	77.7	19.0	0.1	21.0	10.0
Male	217	100.0	28.0	44.5	19.9	7.6	27.5	15.5
Female	187	100.0	29.3	44.3	17.8	8.6	26.4	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	101	100.0	23.3	74.0	17.0	0.0	20.4	10.5
White	180	100.0	16.7	41.4	27.6	14.4	42.0	15.5
African-American	216	100.0	38.2	46.6	12.3	2.9	15.2	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	IN/A	0.0	IN/A	IN/A	11/71	IN/PA	IN//A	10.0
Not disabled	333	100.0	21.7	46.5	22.3	9.4	31.8	15.5
Disabled	71	100.0	61.2	34.3	3.0	1.5	4.5	15.5
Migrant Status	/ /	100.0	01.2	04.0	5.0	1.0	7.0	10.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	404	100.0	28.6	44.4	19.0	8.1	27.0	15.5
English Proficiency	707	100.0	20.0	,	10.0	0.1	25	10.0
Limited English proficient	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	399	100.0	27.9	44.7	19.2	8.2	27.4	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	000	100.0	21.0	77.1	10.2	0.2	£1f	10.0

37.8

14.5

44.1

26.3

15.1

15.5 15.5

41.4

100.0

100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

FAL	<u> </u>	JRMANL						
		Enrolle	ayor Testing	, es /	alow Basic	,ie /	Proficient %	Advanced Advanced
		Enrolle	in all of	Lested ale Bi	ol.	Basic ol	6kg, 0/0	Advanced Advanced
		/ • •		Englis	n/Langua	ge Arts		_ `
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 6	106	N/A	25.5	35.8	32.1	6.6	38.7
	Grade 7	169	N/A	22.8	52.7	22.8	1.8	24.6
	Grade 8	117	N/A	35.7	33.9	22.6	7.8	30.4
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	118	100.0	38.3	43.9	15.0	2.8	17.8
	Grade 7	110	100.0	31.5	40.7	26.9	0.9	27.8

Grade 8 176 100.0 34.7 48.2 15.3 1.8 17.1

	I M I have a large											
				IVI	athematio	s						
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	106	N/A	29.2	42.5	19.8	8.5	28.3				
	Grade 7	169	N/A	32.9	30.5	21.6	15.0	36.5				
•	Grade 8	117	N/A	42.6	35.7	14.8	7.0	21.7				
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	118	100.0	28.0	48.6	18.7	4.7	23.4				
	Grade 7	110	100.0	23.1	43.5	20.4	13.0	33.3				
	Grade 8	176	100.0	32.4	42.4	18.2	7.1	25.3				

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 418)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	Down from 12.5%	10.7%	14.4%
Retention rate	2.4%	No change	3.2%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.0%	Down from 95.4%	95.1%	95.2%
	12.4%	Down from 17.7%	12.4%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	16.4%	Up from 15.8%	14.8%	14.1%
	3.8%	No change	4.6%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.2%	Down from 6.4%	1.2%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 23)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	43.5%	Up from 36.0%	44.8%	47.1%
	91.3%	Up from 88.0%	80.0%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	70.0%	Up from 62.5%	82.2%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.4%	Down from 94.9%	94.8%	95.0%
	\$40,580	Up 1.4%	\$38,820	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	8.1 days	Down from 15.8 days	10.3 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.4 to 1	Up from 18.0 to 1	20.7 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.7%	Down from 89.5%	88.5%	88.9%
	\$5,875	Up 24.1%	\$5,731	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	65.0%	Up from 57.0%	62.1%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	96.7%	Up from 72.8%	95.0%	94.8%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

					•			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-03 school year was a successful and exciting one for Sanders Middle School. Our school has truly become a middle school community of faculty, students and parents. Academics have continued to be the priority for Sanders. This year we continued to utilize the district literacy program. We also continued to develop the writing component of "Write from the Beginning." During "academy time." students received enrichment in math and language arts. Character Education continued to be spotlighted in various areas of Sanders. Many service learning projects occurred throughout the year. We participated in Pennies for Patients and Hoops for Heart. We collected canned goods for the Soup Kitchen and blankets for the Salvation Army. Our guidance counselor incorporated study skills, character education and elements of School to Work into classroom lessons as well as through small group and individual sessions.

Our business partnership with National Healthcare (NHC) was an intricate part of our year at Sanders. It was truly a "two way" partnership. Students and faculty at Sanders provided various services for the residents at NHC. The services included seasonal gifts, chorus performances, band performances, readers, and pen pals. NHC sent gifts to the Sanders' faculty and school supplies for the students. They also donated the trophies for our eighth grade academic award and for the Teacher of the Year. As a result of this partnership, we saw increased awareness in character education and communication skills among the students at Sanders.

We are aware that our report card last year did not show improvement over the previous year. Our academic programs this year were specifically designed to target the areas of need. Our academic programs will continue to be enhanced, modified and/or fine-tuned, based on test scores. Planning has already begun for another successful year at Sanders Middle School.

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.